*Previous references.*

**File No.**

**1904** — Ex 714 of 03-04

(Sep. Rev)

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

## Serial Nos. 1 to 4

## PART B FOR September

---

SUBJECT.

Rejecting the appeal of Nanje Gowda of Yediyur Village, Chamarajanagar Tk re the sale of his lands for arrears of Toddy revenue due by one Chinne Gowda

FILE No. 14 of 1903-04.

*Rejecting the Appeal of Nanje Gowda of Yediyur, Chamrajnagar Taluk, regarding the sale of his lands for arrears of Toddy Revenue due by Chinne Gowda.*

1 From Nanje Gowda of Yediyur, Chamrajnagar Taluk, No. 1867, dated the 16th February 1904.
Prays for the cancellation of the sale of certain lands in his possession on account of toddy revenue due by Chinne Gowda.

2 To the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, No. 571—Ex., dated 20th February 1904.
Forwards the above petition to the Deputy Commissioner for report.

3 From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, No. 606, dated 18th June 1904.
Reports on the appeal petition of Nanje Gowda.

G. R. S. 58.

To Nanje Gowda of Yediyur, No. Fl. 1478, dated 9th September 1904.
Informs that, as he has no valid grievance, his petition is rejected.

4 To the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.
Forwards copy of the same with the records of the case.

Ex. T. 14 5/8 10

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

**(Full Office Note.)**

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

**Subject.** ‡ Rep. pet. dtd. 18/7/04 & 16/7/04 from Nanje Gowda Yodeyan village, Channarayapatna Taluk, praying for the cancellation of the sale of his lands held for the arrears of the Akkani peevenue due from one Chinne Gowda

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

**Note.** * The petr. states that his lands described at the foot of the petition were sold by the Amildar of the Channarayapatna Taluk on 28/3/03 on account of the arrears of Akkani peevenue due by one Chinne Gowda though he (Petr.) has been in possession of those lands ever since he purchased them on 29/8/1894 from Chinne Gowda & that on appeal to the

by Court, Mysore dated, he
has given acknowledgement
no 35 of 16/11/03 to the effect
that only the weight Table
& inclosed of the defaulter
has been sold & the sale
proceeds therefore he
has retained, and prays
for orders to cancel
the sale & restore his
land to him, unless to
show cause why to the
said sum should be
recovered.

A draft letter for report
from the D.C. Court ~~together~~
~~with the proceedings~~, is
submitted for approval and
orders.

True
18/2/04.

The D.C.'s endorsement seems correct, but the petitioner complains that the amildar has been endeavouring to dispossess him forcibly & unlawfully.

Call for report
18/2/04

Dy 8/2/04

18/2/04

Letter no 606 of 18/6/04. from the D.C. Mysore, submits the report called for with the records of the case.

From the report

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 14 of 1903-04.

Serial No.

received with the letter ref.d to above, it is clear that only the right, title, & interest of the defaulter has been sold in auction & the petitioner has no valid grievance. The following facts are in favour of the above:-

Z 1. The petitioner himself states that only portions of nos 192 & 193 are in his poss.n and sold to him. (vide last para. Schedule).

Y 2. The Amildar's report of the 24/4/04. In this the Amildar states that only the portion of no 192 was sold to the petnr. and he has no claim to no 193.

X 3. The Amildar's report of the 28/9/03, stating that only the right of the defaulter was sold.

W. 4. The mahazars drawn at the time when the lands were put in the possession of the auction purchasers on 27/3/04. In It is mentioned that only portions of the nos 192 & 193 were put in poss.n

subordinates. It is reported that only the right of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 14 of 1903-04

Serial No.

of the defaulter were sold. The petitioner represents as having a claim to a portion of the land sold. If Nos 192 & 193 have been sold, then the petitioner's portion also would have been included with. It is not quite clear from the latest report of the Dy Comr. if only the share of the defaulter exclusive of the petitioner's portion was sold.

Subd 15/8/04 The Amildar's report shows that the defaulter's right only was sold. So, there is no reason to interfere.

Submitted to the Dewan Saheb thro' the 1st Councillor. Can the appeal be rejected?

15.8.1904

One half of the land in question seems to have been sold. But, the whole of the land was put in ie was the debt actually discharged by the proceeds of the purchasers? If not, the Petitioner has no grievance

328
15-8-04

5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 14 of 1903-04

Serial No.

and his petition may be rejected. A.

16/8/04.

Memo. Clear the docket
Secretary
10.8.1904

Asst Supt
Mills
17/8/04

In the Amildar's order no. 334/a of the 28/2/04 to the Shekdar of the Alur Hobli it is clearly stated that only the portions of both the nos belonging to the defaulter should be made over to the auction purchasers. In the Shekdar's reply it is also stated that the portions of the lands belonging to the defaulter were made over. The receipts given by the auction purchasers clearly show that they were put in poss^n of the portions of ~~the~~ the lands belonging to the defaulter. If so ordered, a docket may

JAN.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCP B—10 000—19-5-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 44 of 1903

Serial No.

issue to the Deputy Commr, Impore Dt, to make a clear report as to whether the whole of the lands inclusive of the petitioner's lands share ~~was~~ are put in possession of the purchasers & whether the petitioner was actually dispossessed of his half.

Subd
met
7/8/04 Subd to the Secy for orders
17/8/04 mmk
17/8/04

I see no reason for further enquiry. The doubt ~~raised~~ entertained by the Dy Commr has been cleared. Submitted to the Devan Saheb for orders if the appeal may be rejected &

20/8/1904 mmm 20/8/04

1113
23/8/04

Yes. mmk
23.VIII.04

Draft-accordg
&
26.8.1904 draft subd to the Secy Draft
mmm 27-8-04 MGGPB—10,000—19-4-03 29/8. 29/8 mmm 30/8/04 passed & 31.8/904

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 14 of 190 3.

Serial No.

To Nanjefowda of Yedigur, Chamarajanagar Tk

No 478 dy 9/9/04.

Informs him that, as he has no valid grievance, his petition has ~~been~~ been rejected.

To the Deputy Commr, Mysore &c

Forwards copy of the Sanad ~~with~~ with the records of the case.

---

Two Rupees Stamp affixed

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Department
Petition Department
Reg'd No 1867R
Date 17-2-1904

1867

1867

1.

2. 28. 3. 1903

2.

Selenoth

16 ? 04

(2)

No 571/Rev (enclosures) 7
dt 20/2/04

Memo

Peda-pedro No. 1867/E.333
dt 16.2.04 from Nanje
Gowda of Yedayur,
Channarayapatna
Taluk, praying C
for the Cancellation
of the sale of ~~the~~ certain
lands held on
28/3/03, on account
of the arrears of
the abkari revenue
due from one
Chinne Gowda &
for orders not to
hand over the lands
to the auction
purchasers,

(together with 3 enclosures)

Received
20/2/04

Forwarded to the
Deputy
~~Clerk~~ Comm^r.

Application for
~~[illegible]~~ access and
report thereon ~~together~~
~~with the records~~
~~of the Case~~.

on the allegation of the Petitioner that the Builder has been endeavouring to forcibly dispossess him of the lands & make them over to the purchaser who it is alleged acquired no valid title as them by the Revenue sale, and that the defaulter had other properties not subject to the claim of others

P. C.

18/2/04

Office Copy

Filed 18/2/04

18/2/04

(3)

E 497 dy 20/6/04

1904

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received 18-6-04

General No. 606

No.

Enclosure No.

Reporting on the
Appeal Petition of
Ranje Gowda &
Yediyur in the
Chamarajanagar taluk

The Commissioner's Office, Mysore 18th June 1904.

Dated Mysore, 18th June 1904.

From

L. Ananthasami Rao Esqr B.A.

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue departments

To

Sir,

With reference to Government Memo No. 571 dated 25th February 1904 forwarding for report a petition from Attaye Gowda of Yeriyur, Channa-rayapatna Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-

One Chinnappa was a defaulter of Excise Revenue for the year 1901-02 both as a Contractor of one Shop and as a surety for the Contractor of

another. The lands no 192 and 193 situated in the Periyur village, Chamarajanagar Taluk were attached and the right title and interest of the defaulter were sold in auction. There were no bids for the lands when they were sold on the 22nd and 24th September 1902, when the defaulter gave a mubchalika before the Amildar agreeing to pay up the arrears within 15 days but failed to do so. The sale was again duly notified and finally conducted by the Amildar and the lands were knocked down to the highest bidders on the 28th March '03. The sale was also confirmed on the 10th July 1903. The Petitioner on the 29th September 1903 applied for cancellation of the sale alleging that his right to the lands as

(4)

G.R.S. 26 B

LR File No. 14-03.
Serial No. —

Diary No. of letter replied to Ey 497.

No. H 1478
S.R 14-03

Endorsement.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( Sep - Rev )
Dated the 9th Sep ber 1904

To
Nanje Gowda of Yedriyur
Chamarajanagar Tk.

Date of issue 9-9-04

Signed by M R Narasimha Rao

With reference to his petn no 1867 of the 17/2/04 appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr, Mysore Dt., regarding the sale of his lands for arrears of tody revenue due by one Chinne Gowda, Nanje Gowda of Yedriyur Chamarajanagar Tk, is informed that he has no valid grievance & accordingly rejects this appeal is accordingly rejected.

By Order
31.8.1904
for Secretary.

over.

P.S.O. dtd 29/4 Rule 30/8/04

SUBJECT.

Rejecting the appeal of Nanje Gowda of Yedriyur Chamarajanagar regarding the sale of his lands for arrears of tody revenue due by Chinne Gowda

RJ

14 No H. 479 & 9 September 1904
S.R 14-03

Copy forwarded to the Depty Commr., Mysore Dt., together with the records of the case.

A.O.

for Secy.

Hd 29/11/46

*List of enclosures.*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

MGCPB—5,000—20-5-04